



PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

A) Innovation of the Proposal

Rate the novelty of the proposal.

Grading Scale (0 to 10):

Grade _____

B) Clinical Importance and Potential Impact

Assess the importance of the proposed findings for increased understanding of clinically relevant issues, such as diagnosis, therapy, prediction of prognosis, and understanding of pathogenesis.

Grade _____

C) Adequacy of the Experimental Design

The experimental design must be directed towards examining relevant hypotheses. Methodology must be sufficiently detailed to allow assessment of feasibility. Standard quality criteria for pre-clinical and clinical trials should be systematically reviewed. Statistics must be detailed.

Grade _____

D) Qualifications and Experience of Principal Investigator

Based on curriculum vitae and track record, determine if the expertise is adequate for the proposed research.

Grade _____

TOTAL

1. Duration of Proposed Research

Consider the proposed duration and determine if adequate. For clinical studies, carefully consider the lead time for recruiting patients, and whether the proposed studies are feasible within the timeframe proposed.

Inadequate

Adequate

2. Feasibility of Budget

Evaluate appropriateness of experimental costs. Note that institutional overhead must be capped at 15% and technical overhead capped at 30% of the total grant amount for these grants.

Inadequate

Adequate

Comments: _____

Overall Classification: Outstanding For Discussion Unacceptable